Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05552 162153Z

62

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-10 NSCE-00 PM-03 CIAE-00

INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 L-02 SPC-01 SAJ-01 NEA-06 PRS-01

TRSE-00 EB-03 DODE-00 OMB-01 DRC-01 /065 W ------ 017043

R 162115Z NOV 73 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2730

SECRETUSNATO 5552

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS, CAT 2 TAGS: ECON, XH, NATO

SUBJECT: NAC ITEM VI: SOVIET DEFENSE SPENDING

REF: STATE 226262

- 1. COUNCIL ON NOVEMBER 16 CONSIDERED DOCUMENT C-M(73)101, SOVIET DEFENSE SPENDING.
- 2. ACTING SECRETARY GENERAL (PANSA) EMPHASIZED TWO POINTS IN THE REPORT: SOVIET MISSILE TEST ACTIVITIES IN THE PAST THREE YEARS AT THEIR HIGHEST LEVEL; SOVIET ANNUAL DEFENSE EXPENDITURES APPARENTLY UNRELATED TO CHANGES IN EAST-WEST RELATIONS. HE THANKED THE U.S. FOR ITS IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE REPORT.
- 3. DE STAERCKE (BELGIUM) ASKED IF THE ECONOMIC COMMITTEE COULD MAKE AN UNCLASSIFIED EXTRACT FROM THIS REPORT. HE OBSERVED THE CONTRAST BETWEEN OPENNESS OF WESTERN DEFENSE BUDGETS AND EXTRAPOLATIONS NECESSARY TO ESTIMATE SOVIET DEFENSE BUDGET. HE THOUGHT A WIDER DISSEMINATION OF SOME OF THE INFORMATION IN THE REPORT WOULD BE USEFUL IN MBFR CONTEXT.

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05552 162153Z

4. RUMSFELD (US) NOTED THAT THE REPORT'S CONCLUSIONS HAVE IMPORTANT CONSEQUENCES FOR THE ALLIES. HE CALLED ATTENTION PARTICULARLY TO THE CONSISTENT PATTERN OF SOVIET DEFENSE SPENDING, AND TO INDICATIONS THAT THE SOVIETS SEE NO

CONTRADICTION BETWEEN A HIGH LEVEL OF DEFENSE SPENDING AND DETENTE. HE SAID THE MISSION WOULD SEE IF WASHINGTON COULD AGREE TO AND UNCLASSIFIED EXTRACT.

- 5. PECK (UK) TERMED AS SINISTER THE REPORT'S FINDING THAT SOVIET MILITARY R & D SPENDING IS AT AN ALL-TIME HIGH. HE WANTED THE ECONOMIC COMMITTEE TO CONTINUE ITS ACTIVITY ON SOVIET DEFENSE SPENDING, AND SAID HE WOULD CHECK WITH LONDON ABOUT POSSIBLE "SANITIZATION" OF THE REPORT FOR WIDER USE.
- 6. PANSA OBSERVED THAT AN UNCLASSIFIED REPORT WOULD BE MOST USEFUL IF AVAILABLE AT THE DPC MINISTERIAL, WHEN NUMEROUS JOURNALISTS WILL BE HERE.
- 7. ACTION REQUESTED: MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE, IF POSSIBLE, REVISIONS OF THE REPORT TO MAKE IT UNCLASSIFIED. RUMSFELD

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 02 APR 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 16 NOV 1973 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO05552

Document Number: 1973NATO05552
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 XGDS, CAT 2

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731165/abqcedjb.tel

Line Count: 75

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Reference: STATE 226262 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 AUG 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21-Aug-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <03-Oct-2001 by boyleja>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NAC ITEM VI: SOVIET DEFENSE SPENDING

TAGS: ECON, XH, NATO

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005